

## Versions of Cauchy's Theorem

We have proven the following (given in 11.2 on page 129 of Priestley):

**Theorem 1A:** If  $f$  is holomorphic on and inside a triangular path  $\gamma$ , then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ .

In 11.6, Priestley generalizes Theorem 1A to the following:

**Theorem 1B:** If  $f$  is holomorphic on and inside a closed contour  $\gamma$ , then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ .

Recall that Priestley defines a *contour* as a simple path that is the join of line segments and circular arcs. I think Priestley restricts attention to the special case of a contour (in his sense) since he can provide a complete proof on his “basic track.” In particular, Priestley provides a proof of the Jordan Curve Theorem (needed to give meaning to “inside”) for the restricted case of closed contours. A proof of the Jordan Curve Theorem for simple closed paths is much more difficult.

Without giving a detailed proof, we will accept the following generalization of Theorem 1B:

**Theorem 1C:** If  $f$  is holomorphic on and inside a simple closed path  $\gamma$ , then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ .

In Chapter 12 (the “advanced track”), Priestley presents versions of Cauchy's theorem that are still more general. These versions have a slightly different formulation. Recall that a *region* is a nonempty, open, connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . The first version of this type actually appears in Chapter 11 because it is used in the proof of Theorem 1B.

**Theorem 2A:** If  $f$  is holomorphic in a convex region  $G$  and  $\gamma$  is a closed path in  $G$ , then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ .

The version given in 12.5 uses the notion of *simply connected*. Here's an intuitive definition: *A region  $G$  is simply connected if the inside of every simple closed path in  $G$  contains only points in  $G$ .* Priestley gives a more technical definition that has the advantage of being easier to apply.

Here's the version of Cauchy's Theorem given in 12.5:

**Theorem 2B:** If  $f$  is holomorphic in a simply connected region  $G$  and  $\gamma$  is a closed path in  $G$ , then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ .

Priestley's final version of Cauchy's Theorem, given in 12.12, replaces the condition that the region  $G$  be simply connected with a condition on the closed curve  $\gamma$ . This condition on  $\gamma$  is phrased in terms of a quantity called the *index* of  $\gamma$  with respect to a point  $w$  not on  $\gamma$ . On the intuitive level, the index of a closed path  $\gamma$  with respect to a point  $w$  is a nonnegative integer that tells us how many times “ $\gamma$  winds around  $w$ ”. The index is denoted  $n(\gamma, w)$ . Here's Priestley's final version of Cauchy's Theorem:

**Theorem 2C:** If  $f$  is holomorphic in a region  $G$  and  $\gamma$  is a closed path in  $G$  such that  $n(\gamma, w) = 0$  for all  $w \notin G$ , then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ .